Rule 21 Working Group Meeting January 23, 2002

San Diego Gas & Electric 8306 Century Park Court San Diego, CA Meeting Agenda 9:30 am – 4:00 pm

Combined Group Session 9:30 am to 11:00 am

- Introductions
- Utility Activity Sheets Utilities requested that interconnections that have been approved can drop off the lists after one year. The group agreed.
- Combined group discussion on Section F (Net Metering) some changes recommended
- Certification Rules Capstone 330 & C60 are officially certified.
- Rule 21 Language Refinement (Continued) 1. Discussed 10-day review different treatment between PG&E & SCE 2. Agreements: Tom suggests 3 agreements: i. No export; ii. Incidental export; iii. Small qf contract for export; iv. Customer generation agreement-Agreement for 3rd party (each of previous 3 contracts could have 3rd party versions: iv-i, iv-ii, iv-iii). Possibility of 3 filings: a. Rule 21, (end of Jan-40 days to adoption) b. then i, ii, iv, iv-i, iv-ii, (regular filing wComments) c. then iii, iv-iii. Since export is more contentious, esp for PG&E.
- Small working group on Certification is being formed: Scott, ChuckW, Ed Grebel, Simon Chiang (?); they will draft language for how to get certified.
- Next Meeting and Location -- tbd

Breakout Groups 11:00 am to 4:00 pm

- Technical Group: Supplemental Review Work
- Non-Technical Group: Section F & Certification Language

Lunch 12:30 pm to 1:30 pm

Afternoon Session 1:30 pm to 4:00 pm

- Technical Group: Supplemental Review Work
- Non-Technical Group: Section F, Certification Language Group discussion of how utilities were implementing the 10-day processing limit. Agreement that applications were taking longer than this. PG&E had put projects into detailed study to avoid going afoul of timelines; more recently had given customers extra time to complete application. SCE had been spending extra time on each one allowing the customer to try to complete the application. Process taking 30-40 hours instead of 10. Learning curve?

Group discussion of submittal dates for family of agreements proposed by Tom D. Agreement to submit all but the export agreement ASAP.

Group discussion of Section F. Section F left as it was in Dec. 2000 PUC Decision text, with a few minor changes proposed by Tom D.